UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,954	02/28/2006	Roberto Catelli	25411044	3082
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/24/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/569,954	CATELLI, ROBERTO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Virginia Manoharan	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement. er.	d to by the Evereiner	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2006 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors, e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology often used in patent claims such as "comprises" in line 10. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s), for example, (3) claimed in claim 1, line 5, mentioned in the description.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a). The claims, as framed, are functional to the point of being indefinite inasmuch as the process steps languages and the functional languages make the actual structure vague and the true structural limitations for apparatus claims, are difficult to determine. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.
- b). It is unclear whether the "a successive sector" in claim 1, line 19, the same or different from the initially recited "a plurality of sectors" in claim 1, line 16?
- c). The addition of the terms "of known type", numerously recited in the claims, renders an otherwise definite expressions indefinite at it extends the scope of the expression. Ex parte Copenhaver, 109 USPTO 118 Bd (1955). Also, it provides for confusion and ambiguity as to what applicant is claiming as his invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1797

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over anyone of Applicant's Disclosure of Admitted Prior Art, Sephton (6,309,513) or GB 686 375.

Anyone of the above references is deemed to anticipates or renders obvious the features of the apparatus as claimed in claim 1.

Applicant admits, for example, at the paragraph bridging pages 1-2 that a "... prior art contains falling film concentration plants which comprise an evaporator provided with a vertical tube bundle in which a plurality of tubes is arranged, ends of which are keyed on two parallel plates, respectively an upper plate and a lower plate, so that the upper ends of the tubes open into an inlet zone of the product, while the lower ends of the tubes open into a bottom zone of the evaporator, known as the separation chamber, in which the juice loses water by self-evaporation (flash), cools, and exits to be sent on to successive operations. The tubes are closed in a sleeve, generally cylindrical and delimited by the two parallel plates, internally of which a heating fluid circulates, which is generally steam produced by a boiler and subsequently laminated by special valves which reduce pressure and temperature thereof in order to bring the values up to the desired ones. In this way the external surface of the tubes is struck by-the heating fluid, while their internal surfaces are occupied by a falling film of product, which, as it heats up, loses water in the form of steam and therefore becomes concentrated. In these

Application/Control Number: 10/569,954 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

plants the tube bundle is often divided into two or more sectors which are all closed within the sleeve of the evaporator and are thus all working at the same temperature. In this case the product which falls from the first section of tubes and arrives at the separation chamber is returned to the top of the tube bundle through a return tube arranged internally of the tube bundle; during its upward return the product, which had cooled in the separation chamber due to evaporation, is heated once more, although it is normally at a slightly lower temperature than the saturation temperature internally of the chamber; once it has reached to top of the evaporator the product is made to fall in the following sectors. The product is then extracted from the separation chamber, once it has passed through the final section.." See also Figs 1-6 of GB 375; and Fig. 1A of Septon.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over anyone of Applicant's Disclosure of Admitted Prior Art, Sephton (6,309,513) or GB 686 375 in view of GB 17145 or GB 937, 623.

To incorporate the compressor (12), steam turbine (18) and steam ejector (40) taught e.g, by GB '623 to the apparatus of anyone of the above references would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as they known elements of an evaporating apparatus used for their art –recognized functions. In this regards, see further page 1, lines 11- 37 of GB '145 disclosing similar structural features as GB '623. Septon and GB '375 both suggest utilizing said compressor system in their apparatuses.

Claim 4 does not define any structure and accordingly cannot be distinguished from the prior art in the structural sense.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nishimura et al discloses a distillation column equipped with a vertical- multitube reboiler.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Virginia Manoharan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Application/Control Number: 10/569,954

Page 7

Art Unit: 1797